# SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



3.8 (ID # 8024)

#### **MEETING DATE:**

Tuesday, December 11, 2018

FROM: EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE OFFICE: Response to the 2017-2018 Grand Jury Report: CAL FIRE/Riverside County Fire Department Palo Verde Valley Fire Protection Issues. District 4; [Total Cost \$0]

#### **RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

- Approve, with or without modification, the attached response to the 2017-2018 Grand Jury Report: CAL FIRE/Riverside County Fire Department Palo Verde Valley Fire Protection Issues
- 2. Direct the Clerk of the Board to immediately forward the Board' finalized responses to the Grand Jury, the Presiding Judge and to the County Clerk-Recorder (for mandatory filing with the state).

**ACTION: Policy** 

Jeff Van Wagenen, Assistant CEO / Public Safety

12/3/2018

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Tavaglione, seconded by Supervisor Jeffries and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley

Nays: Absent: None None

Date:

December 11, 2018

XC:

E.O., Grand/Jury, Presiding Judge, Fire, Recorder

Kecia Harper-Ihem

Deput

3.8

## SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NET COUNTY COST	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A			Budget Adji	ustment: No
e ,	4	For Fiscal Y	<b>'ear:</b> 18/19	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

### **BACKGROUND:**

### **Summary**

Penal Code Section 933(c) requires Board of Supervisors comment on the Grand Jury's recommendations pertaining to matters under the Board's control. In addition, responses must be provided to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court within 90 days of receipt of the report.

3.8

# 2017-18 Grand Jury Report Cal Fire/Riverside County Fire Department

The Riverside Grand Jury Issued a report titled "2017-18 Grand Jury Report: Cal Fire/Riverside County Fire Department Palo Verde Valley Fire Protection Issues". The report provided specific information and recommendations concerning fire protection and first response emergency medical services.

### **GRAND JURY FINDINGS:**

Grand Jury Finding #1

Riverside County Fire Station #43, located in Blythe, is unable to sufficiently support the vehicles and equipment assigned there. The 2014-15 Grand Jury recommended the replacement of Station #43 or relocating it to a site closer to the Colorado River. The station is not large enough to accommodate all vehicles and equipment, and is therefore, inadequate.

In the 2016 commissioned independent study by TriData of RCFD resources, several recommendations were made including the closure of Station #43 and consolidating its personnel with Station #45. The study noted that few emergency responses were required of Battalion 8 during the study period, and that few were structure fires or major emergencies.

The 2017-18 Grand Jury visited three stations in Battalion 8 and observed the fire engine assigned to Station #43 was parked in the driveway and the rescue vehicle was on the street. This exposes the vehicles to extreme weather, tampering, and vandalism. The sun and heat causes deterioration of the paint, decals and rubber seals on the engine and pumps. Fine dust infiltrates essential electrical parts. This exposure of RCFD vehicles to the elements and to passersby on the street, including children and vagrants, is a serious problem especially when considering that a life-saving medical supply kit is onboard Engine #43, as it is on all County fire engines. The Grand Jury queried personnel of various ranks and failed to obtain a consistent answer to the question of whether the medical kits were even securely locked while onboard the vehicles.

Response to Grand Jury Finding#1

Station 43 is not physically large enough to garage the vehicles and all of the equipment assigned to that location. Replacing or relocating the station is one possible solution, but not the only one Since the 2014-15 Grand Jury Report and the publication of the Tri-Data study, the status of Station 43 has been a subject of public discussion with the Board of Supervisors. Cal Fire/RVCFD will work

with the Board during the Fiscal Year 2019-20 budget process to identify solutions to the challenges posed by the size and location of Station 43 and make a recommendation that meets the public safety needs of the community.

Grand Jury Finding #2

The city of Blythe maintains an historic fire department which responds to calls within the city. The Fire Chief, or his designee, assembles a team and provides guidance when a call for emergency service is received. After the volunteers arrive at the station, firefighting team – which typically consists of a Captain, an Engineer, and a Firefighter – is dispatched to the emergency.

Public reports from the Blythe Fire Department for the period of October 2017 through April 2018 indicate that the Department received 221 calls for service. More than half of those calls were not fire-related, but for public assistance, rescues, or medical aid. The Blythe Fire Department is staffed with volunteers who are trained Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs) who can only provide Basic Life Support (BLS) assistance. They are not certified paramedics and cannot provide Advance Life Support (ALS) measures, if needed. In the event ALS measures are required, EMTs must wait for the arrival of an ambulance which is staffed with a certified paramedic.

Blythe pays an annual salary to the Fire Chief and the Deputy Chief. The volunteer firemen receive a flat payment for each incident response and an additional payment when response to an incident exceeds one hour. While this may appear to be a cost saving in relation to a fully-staffed, 24-hour RCFD contract team, Blythe is spending approximately \$440,000 annually for volunteer personnel and the costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of fire vehicles. Public records indicate that at least \$220,000 was paid to volunteers in 2016.

The Blythe Fire Department has old equipment which is subject to reliability issues. There is a newer model engine (2015), but the other two fire engines are more than 15 years old, an age when most fire companies reduce front-line use of engines and move them to back- up use. At fifteen years of age, engine reliability is questionable and servicing issues can be expensive. The historic vehicles include a 1923 fire engine and a 1975 truck.

Response to Grand Jury Finding #2

N/A (This finding concerns the City of Blythe Fire Department.)

### Grand Jury Finding #3

RCFD Station 43 is located less than one-quarter of a mile from the Blythe Fire Department. Station 43 is staffed with a full complement of firefighting professionals, including certified paramedics. Since there is no formal agreement between the RCFD and Blythe, except for the standard mutual aid agreement that exists between all local jurisdictions, Station 43 does not respond to emergency calls within the city limits. The Grand Jury concluded that available and certified paramedics and other first responders are not being utilized to the benefit of the residents of the Palo Verde Valley or the city of Blythe.

### Response to Grand Jury Finding #3

Cal Fire/RVCFD will work with the Blythe Fire Department to identify mutually beneficial solutions to meet public safety demands.

## **GRAND JURY RECOMMENDATIONS:**

### Grand Jury Recommendation #1

The Board of Supervisors (BOS), along with the RCFD, should review the prior recommendations from the 2014-2015 Grand Jury report and the independent 2016 TriData, LLC, Final Report concerning the utility of Station #43, and take appropriate actions. RCFD should relocate Station #43, or close it, and consider consolidating operations with Station #45.

## Response to Recommendation #1

Cal Fire/RVCFD will work with the Board during the Fiscal Year 2019-20 budget process to identify solutions to the challenges posed by the size and location of Station 43 and make a recommendation that meets the public safety needs of the community.

#### Grand Jury Recommendation #2

The city of Blythe review the quality of service which they can provide with a volunteer service in light of the large number of medical assist calls within the city limits. Review the level of fire/emergency services if the County were to deactivate or move Station 43.

2017-18 Grand Jury Report Cal Fire / Riverside County Fire Department

Response to Recommendation #2

N/A (This finding concerns the City of Blythe Fire Department.)

Grand Jury Recommendation #3

The city of Blythe form a partnership with the County of Riverside to develop a comprehensive fire and medical assistance protection plan that would better utilize existing resources and best serve the residents of the Palo Verde Valley including the city of Blythe.

Response to Recommendation #3

Cal Fire/RVCFD will work with the Blythe Fire Department to identify mutually beneficial solutions to meet public safety demands.