### Agenda Item No.

## (ID # 17567) MEETING DATE: Wednesday, November 03, 2021

**SUBJECT:** EXECUTIVE OFFICE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: Receive and File, and Provide Comment on Draft Redistricting Maps and Receive and File the Public Testimony Package. All Districts. [\$0]

PROPOSED PROJECT		
Case Number(s):	N/A	
Environmental Type:		
Area Plan No.		
Zoning Area/District:		
Supervisorial District:	All Districts	
Project Planner:	Rania Odenbaugh	
Project APN(s):		
Continued From:		

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

Presentation of Redistricting draft maps to the Riverside County Advisory Redistricting Commission.

#### PROJECT RECOMMENDATION

### **EXECUTIVE OFFICE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 1. That the Riverside County Advisory Redistricting Commission receive and file, and provide comment on draft maps EOTC E, F and G, which were deemed Board drawn by the Board of Supervisors at the October 19, 2021 public hearing; and
- 2. Receive and file, and provide comment on draft maps EOTC H, I, and J presented by the Redistricting Executive Office Technical Committee; and
- 3. Receive and file, and provide comment on draft maps labeled Community Maps 1.0, 1.1, 3.0, 4.0, 4.1, 4.2 and 5.0; and
- 4. Receive and file the attached public testimony package, containing all public comments received through October 27, 2021; and
- 5. Receive, file, and provide comment on any additional community maps received through November 1, 2021; and
- 6. Direct the Executive Office Technical Committee to present draft maps EOTC F, G H, I, and Community Map 1.1, and any additional draft maps selected by the

Advisory Redistricting Commission, with associated Advisory Redistricting Commission comments, at the public hearing of the County Board of Supervisors on November 9, 2021, for receipt of additional public input; and 7. Schedule an ARC meeting on December 1st.

PROJECT DATA					
Land Use and Zoning:					
Specific Plan:	N/A				
Specific Plan Land Use:	N/A				
Existing General Plan Foundation Component:					
Proposed General Plan Foundation Component:	N/A				
Existing General Plan Land Use Designation:					
Proposed General Plan Land Use Designation:	N/A				
Policy / Overlay Area:					
Surrounding General Plan Land Uses					
North:					
East:					
South:					
West:					
Existing Zoning Classification:					
Proposed Zoning Classification:	N/A				
Surrounding Zoning Classifications					
North:					
East:					
South:					
West:					
Existing Use:					
Surrounding Uses					
North:					
South:					
East:					

		We	st:				
Project Details:							
Item		Value		Min./Max	Min./Max. Development Standard		
Project Site (Acres):							
Existing Building Area (SQFT):							
Proposed Building Area (SQFT):							
Floor Area Ratio:							
Building Height (FT):							
Proposed Minimum Lot Size:							
Total Proposed Number of Lots:							
Map Schedule:							
				•			
Parking:	1						
Type of Use	Building Area (in SF)		Parking Ratio		Spaces Required	Spaces Provided	
TOTAL:							
Located Within:	itu'a Cabara a	f Influence	NI/A				
City's Sphere of Influence:			N/A N/A				
Community Service Area ("CSA"):			N/A				
Special Flood Hazard Zone:			N/A				
Agricultural Preserve:			N/A				
Liquefaction Area:			N/A				
Subsidence Area:			N/A				
Fault Zone: Fire Zone:			N/A				
			N/A				
Mount Palomar Observatory Lighting Zone:			N/A				
WRCMSHCP Criteria Cell:			IN/ <i>F</i> A				

CVMSHCP Conservation Boundary:	N/A
Stephens Kangaroo Rat ("SKR") Fee Area:	N/A
Airport Influence Area ("AIA"):	N/A

### PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Every ten years, after the federal census, district boundaries for federal, state, and local elected offices are redrawn to reflect new population data and shifting populations to ensure equal voter representation to the extent possible. This process is called redistricting.

Under State law, the Board of Supervisors may establish, by resolution, ordinance or charter amendment, an independent redistricting commission, a hybrid redistricting commission, or an advisory redistricting commission composed of residents of the County to complete the redistricting of the five County Supervisorial districts.

Elections Code 23002 applies to Advisory Redistricting Commissions. It states in relevant part, "(b) Notwithstanding any other law, the local jurisdiction may prescribe the manner in which members are appointed to the commission; (c) A person who is an elected official of the local jurisdiction, or a family member, staff member, or paid campaign staff of an elected official of the local jurisdiction shall not be appointed to serve on the commission; (d) A local jurisdiction may impose additional requirements or restrictions on the commission, members of the commission, or applicants to the commission in excess of those prescribed by this section."

On November 17, 2020 (Agenda Item 3.3), the Riverside County Board of Supervisors established the 2021 Advisory Redistricting Commission by resolution, which is the existing Planning Commission for the County of Riverside and is a Brown Act body of five members selected by the Board of Supervisors. Each Planning Commissioner is a resident of the County, with one Planning Commissioner representing each Supervisorial District. The Planning Commission receives support through the County of Riverside Planning Department and regularly meets on the first and third Wednesdays of each month.

The 2021 Advisory Redistricting Commission (ARC) receives direct support through a Technical Committee established by the County Executive Office (EOTC), which is comprised of staff from the Executive Office, County Counsel, Riverside County Information Technology Department, Registrar of Voters, and Transportation Land Management Agency, as well as the five Chiefs of Staff for each Supervisorial District. Both the ARC and the EOTC have been committed to ensuring as much public participation as possible in the Redistricting process and ensuring that the final Board recommended map(s) will accurately and fairly represent the residents of Riverside County pursuant to applicable laws.

In prior census years, data collection was complete by July of the census year. However, due to challenges in collecting data posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Census Bureau extended data collection to October 2020. In addition, during prior census and redistricting cycles, the Census Bureau released data to the states for distribution to local governments by April of the year following the census. This year, the Redistricting Data was forecasted for release to states by September 2021, nearly five months later than prior redistricting cycles. The significant delays on the release of data by both the federal and state governments have created a very tight timeline for local jurisdictions to complete the redistricting process, which by law must be complete by no later than December 15, 2021, to allow for those districts to be ready for use in the June 7, 2022 primary election.

The EOTC has attended four ARC meetings since the ARC was established by the Board in November. During these meetings, the EOTC provided updates and gathered feedback form the ARC and the public. The most recent update provided to the ARC was on October 6, 2021; during that meeting, the EOTC presented four draft maps, labeled EOTC A, B, C and D, and received comments from the public and the ARC. At that time, the ARC was made aware that draft maps EOTC A, B, C and D did not include the California's adjusted U.S. Census Data for breakdown of voting age population data by ethnicity, the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) data, and prisoner population, as this information was not available for analysis at the time of the creation of those maps.

On October 14, 2021 the EOTC created three additional draft maps, EOTC E, F, and G. The EOTC updated the original maps A, B, C, and D and the additional maps E, F, and G to include the California's adjusted U.S. Census Data for breakdown of voting age population data by ethnicity, the CVAP data, and prisoners population data.

On October 19, the EOTC presented draft EOTC maps A through G as well as several maps submitted by the public to the Board of Supervisors at a public hearing. At the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors directed the EOTC to do the following:

- 1) No longer consider draft EOTC Maps A through D;
- 2) Deem draft EOTC Maps E through G as Board Drawn and bring them forward to the ARC on November 3<sup>rd</sup> for review and consideration for the purpose of providing recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for a November 9<sup>th</sup> public hearing;
- 3) Direct the EOTC to apply CVAP data to the draft maps submitted by the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub, Debbie Walsh, and Jerry Sincich and bring them forward to the ARC on November 3<sup>rd</sup> for review and consideration for the purpose of providing recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for the November 9<sup>th</sup> public hearing;
- 4) Draft an additional map (EOTC H) to include Temecula, Murrieta, Wildomar, Lake Elsinore, Temescal Valley, Wine Country, and French Valley into one District for consideration by the ARC:

- 5) Request that any draft maps from the public or EOTC be submitted to the EOTC by 5pm on November 1<sup>st</sup>:
- 6) Direct the EOTC to hire an external consultant to conduct an assessment of racially polarized voting in the county as an additional measure to assist in determining compliance with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act; and
- 7) Schedule a 5<sup>th</sup> public hearing for the November 16<sup>th</sup> Board of Supervisors meeting.

Since the October 19<sup>th</sup> public hearing, the EOTC drafted additional maps, draft EOTC Maps H, I, and J. Additionally, a slight boundary revision was made to draft EOTC Map G that places the community of El Cariso in District 2. The revision does not substantially impact the population numbers for draft EOTC Map G.

Today, the EOTC is requesting the ARC to review and consider draft EOTC Maps F, G, H, and I as well as Community Map 1.1 submitted by the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub for the purpose of providing recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for the November 9<sup>th</sup> public hearing. After applying the appropriate data and analyzing the draft maps as indicated on the attached comparison matrix, the EOTC's recommendation is to no longer consider any of the remaining maps.

### **Impact on Residents and Businesses**

The County's redistricting efforts will have a direct impact on the residents and businesses of Riverside County as the results will maintain a proportionate number of voters between supervisorial districts and will determine representation at the County level.

#### **ATTACHMENTS** (if any, in this order):

- A. Redistricting Maps EOTC E, F, G, H, I, and J
- B. Redistricting Maps Community Maps 1.0, 1.1, 3.0, 4.0, 4.1, 4.2, and 5.0
- C. Public Testimony Package as of 10/27/21 Email
- D. Public Testimony Package as of 10/27/21 Survey
- E. Comparison Matrix EOTC Maps
- F. Comparison Matrix Community Maps